

# Wrap up and conclusions

---

- Need to address mineral exploration
- Contribution to (wastes) volume estimation
  - Secondary raw material
  - Potential for mapping wastes at European scale
- Products to be made easily accessible to local organisations
- EO-MINERS need to better define and clarify the meaning of “indicators”
- Need for a cost/benefit analysis
- Develop downstream services
- Potential for UAVs

- 
- Potential contribution to
    - environmental and societal excellence
    - public acceptance
    - Land use conflicts
  - Clearly define what is achievable and what is not
  - Possible contribution to “global reporting”
  - Potential in change detection
  - A possible product : subsidence and induced seismicity
  - European initiative to use these techniques?
  - Better lobby involved parties

- EO potential for hotspot information
- Impact on ecosystems and vegetation
- Illegal activities and practices (law enforcement)
- Support to emergency management
- Monitoring progresses in rehabilitation
- Contribution to integrated planning (new projects)
- Public authorities to put money on monitoring through EO (CORINE-like projects)
- Need for timeliness data : service to be developed?);
- Combien different methodologies to improve accuracy (e.g. combine statistical and EO approaches)
- Who does the job? (need for independant structure)